Theory and Practice of Science and Technology
2025, VOL. 6, NO. 6, 141-145
DOI: 10.47297/taposatWSP2633-456928.20250606

Career Transition Pathways for University Students from Traditional Disciplines in the Age of Artificial Intelligence

Zixuan Li

Hainan International College, Communication University of China, Lingshui, Hainan, 572400, China

ABSTRACT
In the AI era, even students from humanities and social sciences face shifts in career prospects. AI tools—from machine learning and natural language processing to generative models and automation—are augmenting or automating tasks once thought exclusively human. This work first reviews how these technologies disrupt traditional fields using recent studies. Then, it examines key challenges for such students: skill gaps, employment uncertainty, institutional inertia, and psychological barriers. Subsequently, this work explores emerging transition pathways: interdisciplinary integration, lifelong learning/upskilling, creative entrepreneurship, and roles in AI policy/ethics. Finally, this work assesses institutional and policy initiatives that support these transitions. This work indicates that humanistic skills remain valuable and often complement AI. Coordinated educational reforms and support systems are needed to align traditional curricula with evolving workforce demands.

KEYWORDS
Traditional disciplines; Artificial intelligence; Career transition; Interdisciplinary education; Upskilling

1 Introduction

Recent years have seen explosive growth in artificial intelligence (AI) and automation, reshaping labor markets across all sectors. Studies suggest up to 30% of work tasks in advanced economies could be automated by 2030, as generative AI and advanced analytics extend automation into creative and cognitive domains [1]. Public discourse has largely focused on technical and routine jobs, but AI's reach now includes traditionally non-technical fields. Even humanities and arts sectors are experiencing change: for example, literary scholars use topic modeling to analyze novels en masse, and philosophers debate the ethical use of AI. Surveys of students reflect this awareness; notably, a study of English and translation majors found that many students (especially those in translation) express anxiety about AI's impact on their future careers.

Against this backdrop, it is crucial to understand how graduates of traditional academic disciplines can navigate evolving career landscapes. These students possess strengths—critical thinking, cultural literacy, ethical judgment—that may complement AI, but they also face new demands for technical and digital skills. This paper explores "career transition pathways" for such students in the AI age. This work first reviews key AI technologies and their impacts on classical fields (Section 3). This work incorporates examples across disciplines: digital text analysis in literature, AI in legal and historical research, AI-generated art in the creative industries, etc. This work then identifies core challenges faced by traditional-discipline students (Section 4), including skill mismatches, uncertain job outlooks, and both institutional and psychological barriers to adaptation. In Section 5, this work outlines emerging pathways: interdisciplinary education, lifelong learning/upskilling, creative entrepreneurship, and roles in policy or ethics. Section 6 examines institutional and policy support—university programs, government training funds, industry initiatives—that can facilitate these transitions. Finally, Section 7 concludes with insights on aligning humanistic education with an AI-augmented workforce. Throughout, this work draws on recent scientific literature to ground our discussion in concrete examples, ensuring a comprehensive, evidence-based analysis of this critical issue.

2 Traditional academic disciplines and AI disruption

2.1 Overview of AI technologies relevant to traditional fields

The AI Technologies, including Machine Learning (ML), enables analysis of large-scale data in the social sciences and humanities. Techniques like topic modeling and sentiment analysis uncover thematic patterns across vast text collections, automating tasks that once required intensive manual work. Economists and sociologists similarly use predictive models on survey, census, or social-media data to reveal social trends. In practice, many "humanities" projects now involve computational methods: for example, researchers perform quantitative network analysis on social interactions or use ML to identify patterns in historical records [2]. By collaborating on such data-driven projects, humanities students apply basic analytics to traditional questions.

2.2 Evidence of AI-induced disruption

Industry analyses indicate that AI tends to *augment* rather than outright replace most traditional jobs. For example, McKinsey reports that generative AI is expected to enhance the work of creative, STEM, and legal professionals by automating routine aspects while leaving complex, judgment-intensive tasks to humans [3]. In many occupations, roughly 20 – 30% of tasks are estimated to be automatable by 2030, meaning workers will spend more time on higher-level responsibilities. In practice, this means that specific components of jobs in traditional fields are being transformed.

Concrete examples illustrate these shifts across disciplines. Digital historians and literary scholars now use AI to rapidly digitize and organize large archives and text collections—tasks that would have required armies of research assistants. For instance, historians can run ML algorithms to index and sort centuries of documents, then apply critical analysis to the output. In the legal field, AI-driven research platforms allow lawyers to review case law far faster than human paralegals. One study found an AI system could reduce a multi-hour legal research task to mere minutes, enabling lawyers to focus on strategy and client advice. In education, AI-powered tools can grade routine assignments or provide tutoring, allowing professors to devote more time to mentorship. Across disciplines, AI is automating many tedious or data-intensive tasks.

3 Challenges for students from traditional disciplines

3.1 Skill gaps

A primary challenge for humanities and social-science students is the growing gap between their training and the technical skills expected by employers. Traditional programs emphasize critical thinking, writing, and domain knowledge, often with little to no coding, data analysis, or AI-related content. Yet employers increasingly value digital literacy even in non-STEM roles. Surveys of employers report that humanities graduates frequently lack basic data-handling skills or familiarity with tools like spreadsheets, databases, and coding environments. For example, previous research finds that English, languages, and liberal-arts graduates have high rates of "horizontal mismatch" in the job market – in part because their broad-based education has not provided occupation-specific technical competencies [4]. Many students feel this gap. In interviews, graduates will say they are as sharp as ever when it comes to critical thinking, but they do feel as if they need to be trained in analytics or computing. As a consequence, many students of the humanities feel underqualified for data work, even when they excel at communicating or interpreting.

3.2 Employment uncertainty

It is a profession that students in more traditional disciplines are also uncertain they will enter. Long before AI started its ascent, humanities majors frequently landed in jobs that had nothing to do with their degree, or found themselves job-searching for longer periods of time. The arrival of AI simply magnifies that uncertainty. Traditional career paths are changing and reshaping, or else shrinking as automation steps in. Some editing and translating, for example, is already done in part now by AI tools, causing graduates to question the usefulness of such positions. Analyses of labor-market mismatch reveal that fields including English and history are overrepresented among graduates working in jobs unrelated to their major, an indication that traditional track systems don't apply. Meanwhile, new careers have been invented, but most students have no idea that those careers exist. This shrinking of old roles and unfamiliarity of new ones can cause anxiety about students' futures. They may have wondered whether their degree will be valued or how to change course. The breakneck speed of change in emerging technology also generates uncertainty: students know their discipline is transforming, but they don't know how to mold their expertise to meet the market.

3.3 Institutional inertia

Educational organizations are slow to change, serving as another obstacle. Most humanities departments do not have AI or data-skilled faculty, and they may not have a great deal of motivation to change what they already teach. A recent survey of university teachers revealed that the vast majority of professors were ambivalent about AI. They recognize its utility while expressing ethical concern, technical insecurity and fear of competition. Typical obstacles are lack of training, insufficient support structures and unclear guidelines. As a result, liberal-arts curricura often contain no integrated digital elements at all, and students can emerge without any knowledge of AI or data-based subjects. There is inertia which makes it so that even if a student wants to learn AI skills, they wouldn't be readily available in the university setting. The implementation of adapted curricula usually depends on the will and the resources of the administration, which is slow to mobilize, which in turn institutional support becomes a key but not assured aspect in the process of transitioning of students.

4 Emerging career transition pathways

4.1 Interdisciplinary integration

One route is to mix that traditional expertise with the technical. This approach is seen in interdisciplinary fields such as digital humanities, computational social science, and human-centered AI. For instance, a literature student could study text mining and become a digital archivist, while a linguistics major could train in natural language processing to build language apps. Universities increasingly support such integration: many now offer joint majors or minors that combine humanities with computing, data science, or digital design [5]. These programs teach students to expess humanities problems in computable terms as well as humanities problems in computable terms. Cross-campus efforts likewise encourage collaboration: digital scholarship centers and data labs that draw students majoring in humanities and stem are cases in point. For example, an art-history major could intern at a visualization lab to digitize museum collections, or a sociology student could work with computer scientists to mine social-media data. These hands-on projects also allow students to apply their domain knowledge with AI tools, developing practical capabilities and new collaborators across disciplines.

Institutions are formalizing these paths. Some universities are even experimenting with new types of field-bridging graduate training, which are explicitly aimed at students who are transitioning from liberal arts fields into technical fields: there are Master's programs in Digital Humanities or "Humanities + Data Science". Libraries and archives societies also have start certifications in digital curation and data literacy (i.e. professional societies). Even new job titles themselves reflect that blend: roles such as "data curator" or "knowledge engineer" have been introduced into the world of tech and cultural institutions, demanding from their occupants both humanistic discernment and technical skill. Immersing themselves in interdisciplinary education, students put to use their critical thinking and cultural expertise, as well as in-demand tech skills that make for a well-rounded professional primed for today's AI age.

4.2 Lifelong learning and upskilling

Lifelong learning is important than ever. AI is evolving so quickly that an undergrad degree is only the beginning; students have to keep their skills up to date. Cost-effective and available on-demand online courses (MOOCs) and certificate courses are available for training in the programming, AI basics, data analytics and digital tools to inculcate AI without a technical background [6]. Increasingly, part-time master's programs for working professionals in fields such as data science or digital media are being offered, providing graduates access to credentialing while they are engaged in other work.

Many universities encourage this mindset. Circles of alumni and continuing-education programs hold seminars on AI topics and humanities departments bring in industry speakers or offer workshops to expose students and recent graduates to new tools. Upskilling sessions are often sponsored by employers and professional societies. In reality, students "stack" credentials and project experience one on top of the other over time: a graduate in anthropology, for example, might audit an evening course in Python and receive a certificate in data analytics, while a philosophy major takes a weekend workshop in AI. Online communities also give students the opportunity to collaborate on coding projects, creating portfolios that display their increasing skills. By acting as if learning was continual, the students acquired some technical skills along with their humanist education, which eased their transition into a career.

4.3 Creative and entrepreneurial pursuits

Humanistic creativity and domain knowledge can open entrepreneurial avenues when combined with AI. Generative AI tools, for instance, dramatically expand creative possibilities and lower barriers to product development. Research shows that AI-assisted creation can significantly boost output. Inspired by these possibilities, many graduates are launching ventures in digital content and media. A graduate in art history might start an AI-driven design studio; a film student might develop an interactive storytelling app that uses AI for personalized narratives. In marketing and media, companies hire content strategists and "innovation managers" who leverage AI for campaign creation and audience targeting, roles well suited to those with liberal-arts backgrounds and new AI skills.

Creative industries are actively adapting. For instance, advertising agencies now employ writers and designers who use AI tools to generate and refine campaigns, and entertainment companies explore AI-driven interactive storytelling. Professional incubators and hackathons now fund art-tech projects: A graphic design graduate might score seed money to develop an AI-powered illustration app, or a group of media studies students could win a grant for an AI-infused documentary. Even freelancing platforms like Upwork are seeing an increase in AI-powered services that allows graduates in humanities to cash in on their skills. These entrepreneur paths enable graduates to turn their passion into businesses and services, and use AI as a force multiplier of innovation.

4.4 Roles in Policy, Ethics, and Governance

Graduates of traditional disciplines are needed for jobs that shape AI's societal impact. As AI gives rise to a host of intricate ethical, legal, and social issues, companies will need scholars who can translate technology through humanistic eyes. AI ethics officer, policy analyst and governance specialist are some of the roles that many national governments, NGOs and the private industry are defining for the responsible development of AI [6]. Philosophers, sociologists, lawyers, among others, are well cast to perform these roles. A philosophy major could sit on a tech company's AI ethics review board, a law student could draft regulations for a data protection agency, or a sociology grad could host public consultations on AI policy.

Career in this field is also available in the educational and research organisation. Univeirsities now stand up "AI & Society" courses, recruiting humanities professors to teach ethics and policy. Government and foundation-funded postgraduate fellowships in technology policy are generally attractive to applicants with social science backgrounds. Think tanks and academic research centers hire analysts to study the social impacts of AI. These positions may seem less traditional than the more typical careers in the humanities, but they enable graduates to apply skills in critical thinking, communication and ethics to shape the future of AI. In these positions, classical-discipline graduates join the technology and the societal needs, and are responsible for developing AI within humanity-friendly frameworks.

5 Institutional and policy-level support

5.1 University initiatives

Institutions are starting to react to those challenges with innovative new programs and services. Many schools now incorporate AI education into general education: Liberal-arts schools, for instance, offer introductory AI or digital literacy courses open to all majors. Certain institutions have established cross-disciplinary centers (e.g., digital humanities labs, data science hubs), with which students can engage in workshops or team efforts that span disciplines. Some universities have brought in faculty with dual expertise as adjuncts or joint appointments, offering mentorship to students interested in tech-humanities interstices.

Specific curriculum reforms are also underway. For example, history might have electives in data visualization/data for geographic information systems (GIS) and language departments may have courses on computational linguistics. Many liberal-arts offerings now make data science and information science minors/certificates available or mandatory in tandem with the major. Some schools have created interdepartmental capstone projects, pairing students majoring in the humanities with those in computer science to solve real-world problems. These programs allow students to develop blended skills and abilities and retain their core interests.

Universities also promote collaborations with industry and government. Internship programs hook humanities majors up with tech firms or public sector agencies that want their perspectives. A linguistics student could intern at a natural language startup, for instance, or a philosophy student could intern at a government AI ethics board. Career services is promoting more hybrid career fairs and networking events that feature jobs at the nexus of technology and humanities. With these pathways—curricula changes, collaborative projects, and external partnerships— institutions try to establish pipelines that get traditional-discipline students into AI-adjacent career paths.

5.2 Government and industry programs

More broadly, governments and industries are setting up programs to help workers make career changes. Some national governments even have incorporated workforce training into their national AI strategies[7]. Education institutions are even adopting AI literacy in curriculum, so that at least children can be equipped with some basic understanding of AI before they enter college. International organizations offer guidance: UNESCO's competence frameworks urge member states to equip all learners, along ethical lines but also with practical competencies, to participate in and govern AI. These policy interventions are indicative that investment in AI education is a worldwide importance. Industry is similarly active. Silicon Valley companies have announced broad education programs aimed at all sorts of communities. There are increasing industry-university linkages: companies sponsor data-science boot camps or provide curriculum, or send guest lecturers to humanities programs. Tech industry hackathons and challenges often invite diverse teams, including those of humanities students, to think creatively about the use of AI.

There is also a reskilling being offered from non-profit and civic work. Nonprofits and professional associations have mentoring programs connecting humanities learners with data scientists. Library and museum associations pay for workshops on digital preservation and AI for their members. Green said that certificate courses for displaced workers are often held at community colleges and adult-education centers. These are public – private partnerships, and the partnerships form such an ecosystem of support: Training may take place through funding streams designed to grow

workers in this space; mentorship and financial support combine to help students in traditional disciplines gain the skill and credentials necessary to move into AI-augmented careers.

6 Conclusions

The rise of AI in society is revolutionizing every discipline including the one based on humanism. This paper analyzed the effects of AI technologies on classical academic disciplines and therefore on the future career of academically active students. This work reviewed key disruptions—AI tools enabling large-scale text analysis, content generation, and task automation—and illustrated these with examples from literature, history, art, and law. This work then outlined the multifaceted challenges faced by humanities and social-science students: skill gaps, uncertainty about evolving job markets, slow curricular change, and psychological resistance to change. This work identified several pathways that can help bridge these gaps. Interdisciplinary integration, lifelong learning, creative entrepreneurship, and policy/ethics roles all allow traditional-discipline students to apply their strengths in new contexts. Concrete examples from the literature—such as humanities majors completing data science certificates or artists collaborating with AI—demonstrate the viability of these paths. This work also discussed institutional supports: university programs and government/industry training initiatives are crucial to empower students in these transitions. Our analysis shows that humanistic skills remain in demand, but need to be complemented by digital literacy. As one forecast noted, AI is likely to enhance rather than eliminate professions in creative, STEM, and legal domains. Accordingly, the goal for education is not to abandon traditional disciplines, but to evolve them. This work recommends that educators and policymakers provide integrated training opportunities, encourage interdisciplinary projects, and offer career guidance that highlights new AI-related roles. This work can ensure that graduates of literature, philosophy, sociology, and the arts continue to contribute their critical and creative strengths in the AI-augmented economy. In closing, preparing traditional-discipline students for AI means both valuing their unique perspectives and equipping them with the tools to apply those perspectives in an increasingly technological world.

About the Author

Zixuan Li, Xingtai, Hebei, research intrest: intelligent science and technology, 2676626905@qq.com.

References

[1] De Silva, D., Mills, N., Moraliyage, H., Rathnayaka, P., Wishart, S., & Jennings, A. (2025). Responsible artificial intelligence hyper-automation with generative AI agents for sustainable cities of the future. Smart Cities, 8(1), 34.

[2] Kyriazos, T., & Poga, M. (2024). Application of Machine Learning Models in Social Sciences: Managing Nonlinear Relationships. Encyclopedia, 4 (4), 1790-1805.

[3] Al Naqbi, H., Bahroun, Z., & Ahmed, V. (2024). Enhancing Work Productivity through Generative Artificial Intelligence: A Comprehensive Literature Review. Sustainability, 16(3), 1166.

[4] Salas-Velasco, M. (2021). Mapping the (mis) match of university degrees in the graduate labor market. Journal for Labour Market Research, 55 (1), 14.

[5] Vance, E. A., Glimp, D. R., Pieplow, N. D., Garrity, J. M., & Melbourne, B. A. (2022). Integrating the humanities into data science education. Statistics Education Research Journal, 21(2).

[6] Ahmad, I., Sharma, S., Singh, R., Gehlot, A., Priyadarshi, N., & Twala, B. (2022). MOOC 5.0: A Roadmap to the Future of Learning. Sustainability, 14 (18), 11199.

[7] Chatterjee, S. (2020). AI strategy of India: policy framework, adoption challenges and actions for government. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 14(5), 757-775.